## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Pursuant to MPEP §713.04, Applicants' representative, (Arthur) Tan-Chi Yuan, thanks the Examiner for the courtesy of a telephonic interview on July 2, 2007. Applicants and the Examiner reviewed the cited SealedMedia reference and claims, such as claim 1. The Examiner indicated that it is his belief that the subject matter of the invention and the claimed invention involve federal copyright regulations and/or rules, and the Applicants disagreed because the claims are not directed to copyright laws and are directed to a more robust rights management protection. Applicants also explained the differences between the SealedMedia reference and the claims, such as claim 1, but the Examiner disagreed even though Applicants identified portions of the SealedMedia reference which fail to disclose or suggest each and every element of the claimed invention. No agreement was reached and no exhibit was shown.